



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/568,625	06/14/2006	Richard Rudolf Theodoor Van Den Brink	Q93287	2743
23373	7590	04/21/2010	EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			RAHMJOO, MANUCHER	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.				
SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			2624	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/21/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

sughrue@sughrue.com  
PPROCESSING@SUGHRUE.COM  
USPTO@SUGHRUE.COM

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/568,625	VAN DEN BRINK, RICHARD RUDOLF THEODOOR
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	MIKE RAHMJOO	2624

**All Participants:**

(1) MIKE RAHMJOO.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) Mr. Deboy.

(2) Mr. Hannon.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 15 April 2010

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1 and 26

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner contacted applicant to confirm on the optical scanning step of claim 1 and to request incorporation of the preamble of claim 26 into the body of the claim; accordingly applicant agreed to said amendment to place the application in the condition for allowance*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Mike Rahmjoo/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2624

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)